

Speech Structural Change in Australian Industry: The Role of Business Services

Alexandra Heath [*] Head of Economic Analysis Department

Economic Society of Australia Hobart - 6 September 2017



The Australian economy has undergone many structural changes over time. One of the most pronounced changes has been the transition away from a goods-producing economy towards a more services-oriented economy.^[1] Australians are producing more services, consuming more services and trading more services with other economies than ever before. This trend has been apparent in most state economies over recent decades, including here in Tasmania, where tourism has been an important source of growth for the services sector.

One of the reasons for the shift to a services-based economy is that Australian households have experienced remarkable growth in their real incomes. Australia has had over 25 years of uninterrupted economic growth and real income per household has more than doubled since the early 1960s. As incomes rise, households typically spend more of their income on household services, such as health, education and restaurant meals, than on goods.^[2]

Demand for business services has also increased. Today I am going to focus on the fundamental changes in the way business services industries interact with other industries and what this tells us about the Australian economy. In summary, businesses that produce goods and distribute them have become more specialised in their core activities, and have increasingly outsourced their non-core activities to the business services sector. Some of this reorganisation has been possible because technological change has lowered the costs of communication and logistics, and has allowed a range of processes to be automated. Some outsourcing has just led to a change in the industry where an existing activity is recorded. But some of these business services are totally new. The outsourcing of business services to dedicated providers could be expected to deliver efficiency gains and there is some evidence that this has happened. There is also evidence that the nature of the work being done in the business services sector is generally changing faster than in other sectors. This all

suggests that business services are at the centre of how technological change is transforming the Australian economy.

The Business Service Sector in Australia

The fact that the business service sector has become an increasingly important part of the Australian economy is well known. ^[3] The business services sector has increased as a share of gross value added from less than 20 per cent in the early 1990s to more than 25 per cent today (Graph 1). Broadly speaking, the business services sector can be thought of as firms that provide assistance to other businesses. In addition to what you might think of as traditional business services such as accounting and legal services, this sector also includes a range of less traditional services such as management consulting, internet providers and computer system design. The goods production sector, which includes manufacturing, mining and construction, has seen a decline of similar magnitude. ^[4]



Some of the increase in demand for business services has come about from a reorganisation of economic activity. As firms become more specialised in their areas of comparative advantage they are likely to outsource some activities, such as catering and administrative functions, to more specialised providers, even if the nature of the service being provided hasn't changed much. ^[5] Technological change has played a role in this process by lowering the costs of communication and

logistics across firms, and has meant that the scope and complexity of what can be outsourced has increased over time.

Technological change has also enabled the development of new ways to deliver services that require specialist knowledge. ^[6] For example, IT-based systems designed to automate office processes may require a significant investment to develop that will be hard to justify for an individual firm, especially if it is small, even though the efficiency gains of automating the process can be substantial. In that case it makes sense to purchase from a provider who specialises in developing IT systems to take advantage of economies of scale by providing their services to many users.

One indicator of how the industrial structure of the economy has changed is to look at the way definitions and the measurement of industries have evolved over time. In the 1962/63 national accounts there were 69 manufacturing categories and 6 business services categories. More recently, the data have only covered 51 manufacturing categories in detail, but have increased the number of business services categories to 16. Later this year the ABS will release data that splits out Computer System Design and Related Services from the Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services industry. This is one example where the role of technological change can be easily identified. Another example is the Communication Services industry, which included postal, courier, and telecommunications services 50 years ago. Today, these services are found in the much broader industry Information Media and Telecommunications, which also includes Internet Publishing & Broadcasting, Internet Service Providers & Web Search Portals, and Data Processing Services.

Another way to understand the reorganisation of Australian industries is to look at how the interdependencies between various sectors of the economy have changed over time. This can be done by using the input-output tables that are created by the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) as a part of the system of national accounts. The input-output tables provide information on the value being added by each industry in the economy at each stage of the production process. They do this by allowing the value of intermediate inputs to be subtracted from the value of an industry's output.

This graph shows how much additional demand for intermediate inputs there will be for one extra dollar of goods production (Graph 2).^[7] The additional demand generated for the output of the goods distribution and household services sectors has been largely stable over time, but the additional demand for intermediate inputs from the business services sector has increased from close to nothing fifty years ago, to just over 20c today. This is consistent with the observation that technological change has enabled goods-production firms to become more specialised in their core activities by outsourcing some of their activities to the business services sector.



Interestingly, the value added by intermediate inputs from the business services sector has become more important for all sectors in the economy, including the business services sector itself (Graph 3).

Graph 3

9/6/2017



The increasing integration of business services with other sectors of the economy is consistent with evidence that supply chains have lengthened over time. A number of studies have documented the lengthening of global supply chains as production has become more fragmented across economies. ^[8] Recent work at the Bank has also highlighted the lengthening of supply chains in Australia. ^[9]

One way to measure the length of the supply chain is to estimate the average number of stages that lie between a given sector's production and final demand. This measures the 'upstreamness' of a sector or industry in the supply chain. ^[10] This graph confirms that the business services sector has become more important as an intermediate input into the production of other sectors (Graph 4). The household services sector is, unsurprisingly, the most downstream sector, being the closest sector to final consumption.



Another common measure of supply chain length is 'fragmentation', which estimates the number of stages involved in the production of a sector's output, taking into account how the overall value added of the product is distributed along these stages. ^[11] The goods production sector is the most fragmented sector because it uses the most intermediate inputs (Graph 5). Business and household services are the least fragmented sectors of the economy indicating that they use fewer intermediate inputs. The increase in fragmentation across all sectors over time, particularly before the 2000s, is consistent with the other evidence that supply chains in the economy have been getting longer.



If the reorganisation of activities across industries is the result of more specialisation, returns to scale and a more efficient organisation of activities across firms, multi-factor productivity (MFP) should be growing more strongly when supply chains are lengthening. The increasing integration across sectors associated with longer supply chains should also boost MFP growth by making it easier to share technologies and productivity gains across the economy (Dao *et al* 2017).

This graph shows that there is some correlation between growth in MFP and the lengthening of supply chains as measured by upstreamness and fragmentation (Graph 6). Generally, it is very difficult to attribute changes in productivity to any one causing factor, so this graph should not be over interpreted. But, at the very least, the graph suggests a productive line of future research as access to firm-level data sets, such as the ABS's BLADE dataset, improves.



Employment in Business Services

Another source of information about the way structural change is occurring in the Australian economy and the part played by business services is the labour market. The literature suggests that the reorganisation of activity associated with the lengthening of supply chains has led to a shift towards more high-skilled labour, and that this has been more pronounced in advanced economies, such as Australia (Timmer *et al* 2014). More generally, there is a growing body of evidence that shows technological change in the form of advances in computer-based technology has been an important driver of a shift from routine to non-routine jobs (Autor, Levy and Murnane 2003). Evidence for Australia suggests that this process has created new jobs as well as making others obsolete, but that the effects of technological change on the labour market are not more dramatic now than in recent decades (Borland and Coelli 2017).

The data for Australia show that the share of people employed in the business services sector has almost doubled over the last fifty years, to be almost 20 per cent of the workforce in 2016. Most of the growth in employment in the business services sector has been in non-routine cognitive jobs, which is what you would expect if technological change was an important driver (Graph 7). This graph suggests that similar forces have been at work in the household services sector, although there has also been a significant increase in demand for non-routine manual jobs in this sector.



Another perspective can be gained by considering how the specific skills and abilities required in the business services sector have changed over time. This can be done using detailed information about what skills and abilities are required in different occupations and data on how the demand for different occupations has changed.^[12] For example, one of the skills that has become increasingly important in the economy is the ability to analyse systems. This skill has always been more important in the business services sector, however, since the mid 1990s, there has been a noticeable shift towards occupations requiring this skill (Graph 8).



Sources: ABS; BLS; O*Net; RBA

The picture is the same for a range of higher-level cognitive skills and abilities that have become more important over time (Graph 9). Most of the change is coming from the business services sector. There has been a corresponding decline in the importance of more physical skills, such as coordination and manual dexterity.



Conclusion

Collectively, the evidence suggests that the business services sector has played a key role in the way the economy has responded to technological progress. In the process, business services have become more important, more specialised and more integrated with other sectors. There is some evidence that this has been associated with higher productivity growth. Data from the labour market also support the idea that business services industries are at the heart of how technological change is transforming the structure of the economy. But more work needs to be done to gain a better understanding of how technological change might affect the economy through these channels and drive productivity growth into the future.

Bibliography

Antràs P, D Chor, T Fally and R Hillberry (2012), 'Measuring the Upstreamness of Production and Trade Flows', *American Economic Review*, 102(3), pp 412–416.

Autor DH, F Levy and RJ Murnane (2003), 'The Skill Content of Recent Technological Change: An Empirical Exploration', *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 118(4), pp 1279–1333.

Bartel AP, S Lach and N Sicherman (2014), 'Technological Change and the Make-or-Buy Decision', *The Journal of Law, Economics and Organization*, 30(1), pp 165–192.

Berlingieri G (2013), 'Outsourcing and the Rise in Services', Centre for Economic Performance Discussion Paper 1199.

Structural Change in Australian Industry: The Role of Business Services | Speeches | RBA

Borland J and M Coelli (2017), 'Will Robots Take Our Jobs?', July. Available at https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B_H1wGTm98W3cDZHRzhSRDl1akk/view.

Connolly E and C Lewis (2010), 'Structural Change in the Australian Economy PDF', RBA *Bulletin*, September quarter, pp 1–10.

Dao MC, M Das, Z Koczan and W Lian (2017), 'Why is Labor Receiving a Smaller Share of Global Income? Theory and Empirical Evidence', IMF Working Paper WP/17/169.

De Backer K and S Miroudot (2013), 'Mapping Global Value Chains', OECD Trade Policy Paper No. 159, December. Available at http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/trade/mapping-global-value-chains_5k3v1trgnbr4-en.

Fally T (2012), 'Production Staging: Measurement and Facts', unpublished manuscript, University of Colorado-Boulder, August, unpublished manuscript. Available at https://www2.gwu.edu/~iiep/assets/docs/fally_productionstaging.pdf>.

Francois J, M Manchin and P Tomberger (2015), 'Service Linkages and the Value Added Content of Trade', *The World Economy*, 38(11), pp 1631–1649.

Heath A (2016), '<u>The Changing Nature of the Australian Workforce</u>', CEDA - Future Skills: The Education and Training Pipeline, Brisbane, 21 September.

Herrendorf B, R Rogerson and Á Valentinyi (2014), *Handbook of Economic Growth*, Growth and Structural Transformation, Volume 2, pp 855–941.

Hummels D, J Ishii and K-M Yi (2001), 'The Nature and Growth of Vertical Specialization in World Trade', *Journal of International Economics*, 54, pp 75–96.

Johnson RC and G Noguera (2012), 'Fragmentation and Trade in Value Added Over Four Decades', NBER Working Paper 18186.

Kelly G and G La Cava (2014), 'International Trade Costs, Global Supply Chains and Value-added Trade in Australia PDF', RBA Research Discussion Paper No 2014-07.

Kuznets S (1973), 'Modern Economic Growth: Findings and Reflections', American Economic Review, 63(3), pp 247–258.

Los B, MP Timmer and GJ de Vries (2015), 'How Global are Global Value Chains? A New Approach to Measure International Fragmentation', Journal of Regional Science, 55(1), pp 66–92.

Manalo J and D Orsmond (2013), 'The Business Services Sector PDF', RBA Bulletin, March quarter, pp 1–10.

McLachlan R, C Clark and I Monday (2002), 'Australia's Services Sector: A Study in Diversity', Productivity Commission Staff Research Paper. Available at http://www.pc.gov.au/research/supporting/service-sector/assasid.pdf.

Productivity Commission (2003), 'Trends in Australian Manufacturing', Commission Research Paper. Available at http://www.pc.gov.au/research/supporting/manufacturing/tiam.pdf>.

Rayner V and J Bishop (2013), 'Industry Dimensions of the Resource Boom: An Input-Output Analysis PDF', RBA Research Discussion Paper No 2013-02.

Timmer MP, AA Eurmban, B Los, R Stehrer and GJ de Vries (2014), 'Slicing Up Global Value Chains', *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 28(2), pp 99–118.

United Nations (2015), 'Central Product Classification (CPC) Version 2.1', United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs Statistics Division Statistical Paper. Available at https://unstats.un.org/unsd/cr/downloads/CPCv2.1_complete%28PDF%29_English.pdf>.

<nttps://unstats.un.org/unsd/cr/downloads/CPCv2.1_complete%28PDF%29_English.p

Endnotes

- [*] I would like to thank Rachel Adeney for the incredibly valuable assistance she has provided in putting this speech together, as well as Cameron Dark, James Foster and other colleagues who provided input at various stages.
- [1] Herrendorf, Rogerson and Valentinyi (2014) present an increasing services sector, declining agricultural sector and hump-shaped manufacturing sector as stylised facts of structural transformation that accompany modern economic growth.
- [2] See Kuznets (1973), Connolly and Lewis (2010), McLachlan, Clark and Monday (2002), Productivity Commission (2003) and Beech, Dollman, Finlay and La Cava (2014).
- [3] See Manalo and Orsmond (2013), Connolly and Lewis (2010), and McLachlan, Clark and Monday (2002).
- [4] Electricity, gas, water and waste services are also included in the goods production sector. There are various methods for distinguishing between the goods and services sectors. Following UN classifications, an industry is classified as a part of the services sector if its outputs are not tangible, storable or transportable (United Nations 2015).
- [5] For a discussion of the role of outsourcing in the shift from manufacturing to services in the United States, see Berlingieri (2013).
- [6] For a discussion of outsourcing as a way of accessing new technology and expertise that is too expensive to develop in-house, see Bartel, Lach and Sicherman (2014).
- [7] See Rayner and Bishop (2013) for details on the calculation of GVA requirements.
- [8] See Hummels, Ishii and Yi (2001), Los, Timmer and de Vries (2015), De Backer and Miroudot (2013), Timmer *et al* (2014), Johnson and Noguera (2012), and Francois, Manchin and Tomberger (2015).
- [9] See Kelly and La Cava (2014).
- [10] The upstreamness index is equal to one plus a weighted sum of the number of stages between production of the goods that take output from a given industry as an input and these goods' own final demand. See Kelly and La Cava (2014) and Antràs *et al* (2012). There are other methods for estimating the length of supply chains. See Fally (2012) for a summary.
- [11] The fragmentation index is equal to one plus a weighted sum of the number of stages involved in the production of a given good's intermediate inputs. For further explanation and calculation details, see Kelly and La Cava (2014) and Fally (2012).
- [12] Specifically, the US Occupational Information Network database (O*Net) rates the importance of a wide range of skills on a scale of 1 to 7 for each occupation. This information can be mapped to Australian occupations. The scores on the graph denote the average importance of a skill for each sector. Because the data on skills are only available at one point in time, changes in these scores reflect changes in the composition of the occupations required in each sector, rather than changes in the skills required for a given occupation over time.

© Reserve Bank of Australia, 2001–2017. All rights reserved.