



Name/Organisation: David Lee/LeedCo Financial Group Pty Ltd

## ISO 20022 MIGRATION FOR THE AUSTRALIAN PAYMENTS SYSTEM – RESPONSES AND OPTIONS PAPER – RESPONSE TEMPLATE

Organisation Category: Corporate About these consultation questions: The focus of these questions primarily relate to direct participants in Australian payments systems and will not apply to all that wish to respond to this public consultation. Notwithstanding this focus, the RBA and APC are open to receiving responses from all organisations (regardless of whether a response was submitted to the first Issues Paper in April 2019) and invite general comments in the last question. 2.2 Proposed project scope Does your organisation agree with the proposed project scope, as set out in Section 2.2? □ No If no, please explain your view. Click here to enter text. Does your organisation support the introduction of an HVCS suite of investigation, dispute resolution, and reconciliation messages? ⊠ Yes ☐ No Should use of these messages be mandatory? ☐ No Please explain your view. Click here to enter text. 3.1 Summary of responses – Enhanced content Does your organisation have any views regarding the use of structured data in payments messaging? □ No Current limitation on messages attached to payments is inadequate

3.2	Proposed message design enhancements
4.	Does your organisation support the proposed message design enhancements, as set out in Section 3.2?
□ Y	es
⊠ No	
Please explain your view.	
It should include domestic payments as well in cross border	
4.1	Summary of responses – Migration strategy, timing and coexistence
5.	Of the options canvassed in Box C, which domestic coexistence option(s) does your organisation support? Tick all that are applicable.
☑ Option 1 – Coexistence of separate SWIFT MT and ISO 20022 CUGs	
	ption 2 – Coexistence of SWIFT MT and ISO 20022 CUGs and mandatory to receive ISO 20022 ption 3 – Mandatory capability to send and receive ISO 20022
Please explain your view.	
The system should be capable of catering for type of messaging	
6. □ Y	For organisations that use the RBA's AIF service, does your organisation have any initial views on the proposed high-level approach for the use of the RBA's AIF service during the coexistence phase?
□ r	
Click here to enter text.	
4.2	Proposed migration approach
7.	Does your organisation agree with the proposed migration approach (like-for-like with optional enhanced content, followed by mandatory enhanced content)?
⊠ Y	es
□N	lo
Please explain your view.	
Click	here to enter text.
8.	Does your organisation support the proposed timeline for the migration project?
⊠ Yes	
□ N	lo
Please explain your view.	
Click here to enter text	

9. Does your organisation broadly support the proposed governance structure?	
Please explain your view.	
Click here to enter text.	
General feedback	
Does your organisation have any general comments on an Australian ISO 20022 payments migration?	
Great initiative and long overdue	

## **Privacy**

5.2

**Proposed governance structure** 

Unless requested otherwise, published submissions will include contact details and any other personal information contained in those documents. For information about the RBA's collection of personal information and approach to privacy, please refer to the <u>Personal Information Collection</u> Notice for Website Visitors and the RBA's Privacy Policy.