Research Discussion Paper

RDP 2021-06

What Is Driving Participation and Diversity Trends in Economics?A Survey of High School Students

Tanya Livermore and Mike Major



The Discussion Paper series is intended to make the results of the current economic research within the Reserve Bank of Australia (RBA) available to other economists. Its aim is to present preliminary results of research so as to encourage discussion and comment. Views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the RBA. However, the RBA owns the copyright in this paper.

© Reserve Bank of Australia 2021

Apart from any use permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*, and the permissions explicitly granted below, all other rights are reserved in all materials contained in this paper.

All materials contained in this paper, with the exception of any Excluded Material as defined on the RBA website, are provided under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The materials covered by this licence may be used, reproduced, published, communicated to the public and adapted provided that there is attribution to the authors in a way that makes clear that the paper is the work of the authors and the views in the paper are those of the authors and not the RBA.

For the full copyright and disclaimer provisions which apply to this paper, including those provisions which relate to Excluded Material, see the RBA website.

Enquiries:

Phone: +61 2 9551 9830 Facsimile: +61 2 9551 8033 Email: rbainfo@rba.gov.au Website: https://www.rba.gov.au

Figures in this publication were generated using Mathematica.

What Is Driving Participation and Diversity Trends in Economics? A Survey of High School Students

Tanya Livermore* and Mike Major**

Research Discussion Paper 2021-06

June 2021

*Information Department **Economic Research Department Reserve Bank of Australia

We are especially grateful to Jacqui Dwyer who has provided guidance throughout all stages of survey design, implementation, analysis and reporting. Similarly, we are thankful to Ipsos for their professional survey design and implementation. This paper has benefited greatly from detailed thoughtful comments from Benjamin Beckers, Adam Gorajek, John Romalis and John Simon. We also thank Gabrielle Penrose for guidance on the analysis, and members of the Reserve Bank of Australia's Educators Advisory Panel and Susan Slocum for input into the survey design. Permission to survey students was provided by the NSW Department of Education (through the State Education Research Applications Process) and eight Catholic diocesan authorities within New South Wales; while formal permission was not required, a letter of support was provided by the Association of Independent Schools of New South Wales Limited. Ethics approval (by a Bellberry Limited Human Research Ethics Committee) was obtained to administrative enrolments data. Our code and the survey questionnaire are available in the online supplementary information accompanying this paper. The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Reserve Bank of Australia. The authors are solely responsible for any errors.

Author: livermoret at domain rba.gov.au

Media Office: rbainfo@rba.gov.au

https://doi.org/10.47688/rdp2021-06

Abstract

There has been a stark decline in the size and diversity of the Year 12 Economics student population in Australia since the early 1990s. This paper addresses 3 key questions to uncover what is driving these trends at Australia's high schools. First, which school and individual characteristics are most strongly associated with choosing Economics? Second, what are students' perceptions of Economics? And third, what differences in perceptions of Economics exist by sex and socio-economic background? We utilise unit record data from a Reserve Bank of Australia commissioned survey of over 4,800 students in Years 10 to 12 (15 to 18 year olds) and administrative school-level data on high schools in New South Wales. The RBA-led survey provides a unique primary source of data on high school students' perceptions of Economics that is novel to the Australian and international literature.

We find that high school students typically have positive perceptions of economics as a field; however, the perceptions of Economics as a subject tend to be negative. Males and students from a higher socio-economic background have more favourable perceptions of Economics than other students, which is reflected in a higher likelihood of them choosing to study Economics. Controlling for a greater perceived understanding of what Economics is about does appear to reduce some of the sex and socio-economic differences in perceptions, but a gap remains. In particular, it remains that females have less interest in Economics and a less clear idea of 'whether they would be good at it' or what the subsequent career opportunities may be. Furthermore, students from a lower socio-economic background are less likely to feel 'they could do well in Economics if they put their mind to it', and less likely to report that teachers at their school promote the study of Economics. And both females and students from a lower socio-economic background are more likely to believe that 'it is a risk to study Economics because I don't know what it's about', and have more favourable perceptions of Business Studies. The results shed light on the scope for interventions to promote participation and diversity in the study of Economics.

JEL Classification Number: I24 Keywords: economics, education, student survey, diversity

Table of Contents

1.	Intro	duction	1
2.	Data		5
	2.1	Survey	5
	2.2	Administrative enrolments data	7
3.	Empi	rical Approach and Results	7
	3.1	Which school and individual characteristics are most strongly associated with choosing Economics?	7
	3.2	What are students' perceptions of Economics?	11
	3.3	What differences in perceptions of Economics exist by sex and socio-economic background?	13
4.	Discu	ssion and Implications	16
5.	Concl	usion	17
Appe	ndix A	: Sample Characteristics	18
Appe	ndix B	: Selection Model Results	20
Refer	ences		21

1. Introduction

There has been a dramatic decline in Australia's Year 12 Economics enrolments of around 70 per cent over the past 3 decades (Figure 1). Alongside the decline in numbers, the gender balance has diminished from roughly equal numbers of male and female students in the early 1990s, to males outnumbering females two-to-one in recent years. In the state of New South Wales, for which more detailed data are available, the shares of students from low socio-economic backgrounds and regional locations have also fallen substantially (Figure 2).



Figure 1: Economics Enrolments in Australia

Note: Data from New South Wales, Queensland and Western Australia included from 1992, South Australia included from 1993 and Victoria included from 1995

Sources: NSW Education Standards Authority; Queensland Curriculum and Assessment Authority; RBA; SACE Board of South Australia; School Curriculum and Standards Authority; Victorian Curriculum and Assessment Authority

The size and diversity of the Economics student population matters for both students and broader society. Economics at the high school level provides a basic level of economic literacy. While there is no one definition of economic literacy, it encompasses an ability to apply economics skills and frameworks to explain or debate much of the world in which we live – from understanding opportunity costs in our personal decisions, through to forming a view about the efficacy of economic policies. In New South Wales, and most other Australian states, the main opportunity high school students have to learn basic economic literacy is through the Year 11 and 12 Economics syllabus (Board of Studies New South Wales 2009).¹ The syllabus teaches fundamental macroeconomic and microeconomic frameworks and concepts, equipping students to engage with current economic

¹ We distinguish between Economics (the Year 11 and 12 subject) and economics (the field) throughout the paper by capitalising the former.

issues. Other subjects touch on some Economics concepts, but arguably do not provide a generalised foundation of economic literacy.²



Figure 2: Share of Economics Enrolments in NSW Year 12

 Notes:
 (a) Based on the socio-economic status of each school's location

 (b) Metro includes schools in major cities; regional includes regional, remote and offshore schools

 Courseau
 NGW Education

Sources: NSW Education Standards Authority; RBA

² For example in New South Wales, the Year 11 and 12 Business Studies syllabus touches on exchange rates and interest rates in the context of businesses' financial management strategies, and economic conditions as a factor affecting consumer choice (Board of Studies New South Wales 2010). Standard Mathematics covers financial mathematics skills, which cross over with economic concepts, such as interest rates (NSW Education Standards Authority 2017). In Years 7 to 10, students may have an introduction to economics through the Commerce elective (NSW Education Standards Authority 2019); this is an avenue by which students may gain basic economic literacy, though the economics component has only become a core part of the elective since 2019.

While there have been numerous studies of financial literacy relating to an individual's personal finances (e.g. Lusardi 2019), there is little evidence pertaining to economic literacy. In the Australian context, the Household, Income and Labour Dynamics in Australia (HILDA) Survey provides a financial literacy test that covers concepts that can also be considered as part of economic literacy (in particular, interest rates, inflation and money illusion). Using this test, only around half of Australian adults would be considered 'financially literate' (Preston 2020). This may be indicative of economic literacy; in a survey of UK residents, while nearly two-thirds of respondents had not studied any economics, three-quarters thought that economics should form part of the school curriculum (Lait 2017). Indeed, in the follow-up 2019 study, around half of the respondents wanted to increase their understanding of economics of the real world and know more about economics to help them make decisions in elections and referendums (Lait 2019).

As studying Economics is often the start of a pathway to a career in economics, the diversity of the student body ultimately shapes the discipline. Declining participation (relative to other subjects) and widening diversity gaps have also been observed for economics enrolments at Australian universities (Dwyer 2018). With economists playing an integral role in determining economic policies, there are wider social benefits when the pipeline of future economists is broadly representative of society. For example, May, McGarvey and Whaples (2014) find that male and female economists have different views on economic outcomes and policies, lending support to the notion that gender diversity amongst policymakers may be an important aspect in expanding the menu of public policy choices.

Qualitative evidence from the RBA's liaison with educators indicates that a number of factors may explain the decline in Economics enrolments. First, too few educators are equipped to teach Economics and too little relevant Australian economics content is available, providing school leaders with limited incentive to offer (or promote) the subject. Second, it has been reported that many students do not select Economics because they do not understand what it is and how it might be relevant to them. Indeed, until the COVID-19 pandemic, there had been a lengthy period in which Australian households were not exposed to a major economic contraction or the extensive economic reforms that were a feature of national debate in the 1980s and early 1990s, drawing less attention to the relevance of economics to everyday life. Third, the introduction of Business Studies to the New South Wales Higher School Certificate (HSC) in the early 1990s saw a large number of students take up the subject instead of Economics, with reports that Business Studies, which is more vocationally orientated, is perceived as being easier to learn and more helpful for employment (Figure 3).

Through this liaison, educators have provided valuable qualitative insights into some of the broad constraints on Economics enrolments. However, a comprehensive survey of students themselves was needed to gain quantitative evidence of the student demand-side factors contributing to the decline in Economics enrolments, and particularly the diversity trends. As such, the RBA commissioned a survey to ask students about how and why they choose subjects, as well as what is influencing their preference for Economics (in particular their perceptions of Economics).

The RBA-led survey also helps us fill a gap in the literature. It contains a unique primary source of data with which to examine the drivers of falling participation and diversity in economics.



Figure 3: Economics and Business Studies Enrolments in NSW Year 12

Sources: NSW Education Standards Authority; RBA

Surveys (which vary in scale) of university students have found perceptions of economics to be largely negative (see Webber and Mearman (2012)). For students at Australian universities at least, economics is generally viewed as abstract, difficult, dull and boring, not relevant to the real world, lacking an ethical dimension, and not obviously associated with a high-profile profession or clear career path (Lewis and Norris 1997; Ward, Crosling and Marangos 2000; Azzalini and Hopkins 2002). At issue is the extent to which these perceptions are representative of high school students and have bearing on their subject selection.

Furthermore, while there are many studies that examine aspects of the relationship between students' characteristics and subject or careers choices, the fields of study are broadly defined. Economics is rarely separately identified. For example, studies (some of high school students and others of university students) have utilised large-scale datasets to draw associations between study choices and student characteristics. (For key Australian examples, see use of the Longitudinal Survey of Australian Youth by Fullarton and Ainley (2000), Fullarton *et al* (2003) and Thomson (2005)). Relatedly, there are many studies that seek to explore subject selection as part of a student's decision-making process when choosing a career. They have little to say, though, about choosing economics – either as a subject or a career (see Alloway *et al* (2004); Gore *et al* (2017); Jung and Young (2019)).

Consequently, despite there being a large body of literature that draws on surveys of students at different stages of their learning, no published research in Australia or internationally has drawn on surveys of high school students' perceptions of economics, or what determines their decision to study (or not study) economics in senior high school. This paper addresses 3 key questions:

1. Which school and individual characteristics are most strongly associated with choosing Economics?

- 2. What are students' perceptions of Economics?
- 3. What differences in perceptions of Economics exist by sex and socio-economic background?

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. Section 2 describes the unit record survey data and administrative data that are used to estimate the model specifications that address our key questions. Section 3 describes each specification and the corresponding results, progressively controlling for more explanatory factors, shedding light on the scope for interventions and further avenues for research discussed in Section 4.

2. Data

2.1 Survey

The RBA collaborated with Ipsos to undertake the 'High School Students' Subject Selection Survey' of Year 10, 11 and 12 students in New South Wales in 2019. We chose to survey schools in New South Wales, rather than other states, as a rich set of school-level data is already available to the RBA about students in this state to supplement the analysis. There are also extensive permissions processes and logistical challenges that vary across the state education systems, which made it infeasible to survey multiple states in a timely way.

The overarching aim was to ensure that we generated a representative sample of the New South Wales Year 10, 11 and 12 student population for our analysis. The sampling frame (or relevant population) consisted of 770 schools in New South Wales after excluding institutions deemed out of scope or without approval to approach.³

The sample population was stratified at the school level to attain a sample with representative coverage of the government and non-government sectors, and metro and regional locations. A total of 51 schools completed the survey between July and September 2019.⁴ The schools fall within 8 strata, covering school sector (government or non-government), school type (co-ed, all girls or all boys) and location (metro or regional) (Table 1).

³ Schools deemed out of scope included distance education providers, TAFE (an Australian vocational education and training provider), international school campuses and schools without enrolment figures. Approvals were not obtained from the Catholic education office for all dioceses, and therefore 19 schools had to be excluded from the population.

⁴ All 770 schools in the sampling frame were given the opportunity to participate in the survey (i.e. were sent a preapproach letter, followed by a recruitment phone call). A total of 90 schools were recruited, with 51 completing the survey. Fourteen schools expressed a willingness to participate but were unable to do so within the allocated fieldwork periods and 25 schools declined to participate post-recruitment. The most common reasons for declining postrecruitment included being unable to find a teacher to facilitate the research, or students being bound by other commitments that prevented completion within the specified fieldwork period.

	Table 1: San By s	1ple of Schools tratum		
	Ρορι	lation	Sa	mple
	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage
Metro government all boys	20	3	1	2
Metro government co-ed	211	27	11	22
Metro government all girls	23	3	3	6
Metro non-government all boys	36	5	1	2
Metro non-government co-ed	165	21	16	31
Metro non-government all girls	44	6	1	2
Regional government	190	25	14	27
Regional non-government	81	11	4	8
Total	770	100	51	100

Each participating school was asked to administer the survey to as many Year 10, 11 and 12 students as they were willing. Schools were more willing to administer the survey to Year 10 students, compared with the senior years (Table 2). A total of 4,826 students completed the survey. The survey was completed in class by students on computers or devices under the supervision of a teacher.⁵ Responses identified as being from potential 'skimmers' (i.e. students who completed the survey in an implausibly short time) were excluded, yielding a final sample of 4,698 responses. The characteristics of the sample are broadly representative of the New South Wales (NSW) student population in terms of sex, school sector and geographical area (see Appendix A).⁶ Of Year 11 and 12 students in the sample, 10 per cent study Economics, consistent with the state-wide figures.

	Table 2: Sam	ple of Schools							
	By year and Economics status								
Students surveyed from	School teaches Econo	School teaches Economics in Year 11 and 12							
Years(s)	Yes	No	total						
Year 10	19	26	45						
Year 11	16	18	34						
Year 12	14	18	32						
Source: RBA									

⁵ The average time taken to complete the survey was 10 minutes.

⁶ The analysis uses an 'imputed sex' variable (male/female) for respondents who reported non-binary genders or preferred not to identify their gender, to be consistent with the enrolments data (which are in terms of male/female). While the student survey asked about gender, the sample size for non-binary genders or those who preferred not to identify their gender was too small to run separate analyses.

The questionnaire design was informed by focus groups with Year 10, 11 and 12 students to ensure that the factors contributing to subject selection, and the drivers and barriers to selecting Economics, were adequately represented and in appropriate language.⁷ For Year 10 students, questions related to their subject selection intentions for Year 11 and 12.⁸ Students in Year 11 and 12 were asked to reflect on the reasons for choosing the subjects they did.

2.2 Administrative enrolments data

To enrich the unit record survey data, and establish the relative importance of school characteristics, we draw on administrative data provided by the NSW Education Standards Authority (NESA) for 2019. These data provide Year 12 Economics enrolments, the size of the total Year 12 cohort, the number of Year 12 subjects taught, and information about each schools sector (government or non-government), school type (co-ed, all girls or all boys) and location (metro or regional). The measure of socio-economic status used in this paper is the 2019 Index of Community Socio-Educational Advantage (ICSEA) score for each school.⁹ (This score is derived from a number of variables including parental education and occupation, the school's location and proportion of Indigenous students.)

3. Empirical Approach and Results

3.1 Which school and individual characteristics are most strongly associated with choosing Economics?

To ascertain the school and individual characteristics that are most strongly associated with choosing Economics, we consider a number of model specifications (Table 3).

⁷ The hypotheses generated from previous RBA analysis and liaison were too expansive to explore in a questionnaire. Furthermore, it was possible that some factors had yet to emerge. Groups were also used to glean any specific language used by students.

⁸ At the time of completing the survey, however, about a third of Year 10 students had already selected their subjects and 85 per cent had already started the subject selection process.

⁹ ICSEA is sourced from the Australian Curriculum, Assessment and Reporting Authority (ACARA) My School data. The 2019 scores can be found at https://www.acara.edu.au/contact-us/acara-data-access.

Dopondont variable	Data	Mothod	Interpretation of coefficients
		Method	
$EconSchool_j = 1$ if school j has at	Year 12 enrolments for all	Probit	The effect of school characteristics
least 1 Economics student; 0 otherwise	NSW high schools; administrative data		on the likelihood of a school teaching Economics, all else equal. May reflect factors from the supply side (school's inclination to offer Economics as a subject choice) and demand side (student's inclination to choose Economics).
$EconShare_{j} = \frac{Econ \ enrolments_{j}}{HSC \ enrolments_{j}}$	Year 12 enrolments for all NSW high schools;	Heckman	The effect of school characteristics on the proportion of students taking Economics all else equal. Poflects
where $Econ \ enrolments_j$ is the	duministrative uata		demand-side factors (student's
number of Economics enrolments and $HSC \ enrolments_j$ is the total number			inclination to choose Economics).
of Year 12 students (awarded a HSC)			
$EconShare_{j} > 0$ if $EconSchool_{j} = 1$;			
$EconShare_j = 0$ if $EconSchool_j = 0$			
<i>EconStudent</i> _{ij} = 1 if student <i>i</i> from school <i>j</i> is an Economics student; 0 otherwise <i>EconStudent</i> _{ij} = 0 if <i>EconSchool</i> _j = 0	Year 11 and 12 students in all sampled schools; survey data (supplemented with administrative school-level details matched to the student record)	Heckprobit	The effect of individual and school characteristics on the likelihood of a student choosing Economics), all else equal. Reflects demand-side factors (student's inclination to choose Economics)

Table 3: Model Specifications

Utilising administrative data on all schools in NSW, we first examine the importance of school characteristics for whether or not a school teaches Economics (Table 4, column (1)). For a school to be teaching Economics, both supply and demand conditions must be met: (i) they offered Economics as a subject choice (i.e. they were not constrained by a teacher/resource shortage); and (ii) students selected Economics (i.e. enough students chose Economics to meet a minimum class size). As such, the coefficients in our first specification (column (1)) may reflect factors from both the school supply side and student demand side. We find that schools are significantly more likely to teach Economics if they have a higher socio-economic status, a larger Year 12 cohort, teach a larger variety of subjects, or are all boys. For example, a 100 point (i.e. 1 standard deviation) increase in ICSEA is associated with a 16 percentage point increase in the likelihood of studying Economics, holding all other variables at their means. All else equal, school sector (government versus non-government) and location (regional versus metro) are not significant factors in the likelihood of a school teaching Economics.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	$EconSchool_j$	<i>EconShare</i> _j	<i>EconStudent</i> _{ij}	<i>EconStudent</i> _{ij}	<i>EconStudent</i> _{ij}	EconStudent
Male			0.10**	0.09***	0.07**	0.07***
Bilingual			0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02
Interest					0.06***	0.05***
Understanding					0.09***	0.08***
ATAR					0.04	0.04
Non-government	0.02	-3.42***	-0.16**	-0.15*	-0.11	-0.11
Regional	-0.02	-1.62	0.13	0.10	0.08	0.06
ICSEA (/100)	0.16***	8.01***	0.14*	0.16**	0.09	0.13*
All boys school	0.25***	6.51***	-0.11	-0.05	-0.20	-0.10*
All girls school	0.05	-2.53***	-0.08	-0.06	-0.22*	-0.17***
Subjects taught	0.01***	0.04				
Subjects taught (ordinal)			-0.06	-0.04	-0.07	-0.04*
Year 12 cohort size (/100)	0.15***					
Constant		-76.69***				
Observations	768	768	1,995	1,238	1,141	719
of which selected		316	1,238		719	
Year(s)	12	12	11 & 12	11 & 12	11 & 12	11 & 12
Method	Probit	Heckman	Heckprobit	Probit	Heckprobit	Probit
Wald χ^2		31.32***	0.46		1.57	
School-clustered standard errors			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Pseudo R ²	0.50***			0.13***		0.31***
Log likelihood		1,255.49***	-863.48		-481.11	

Sources: Australian Curriculum, Assessment and Reporting Authority; NSW Education Standards Authority; RBA

To isolate the student demand side we examine variation in the proportion of students who take Economics (Economics enrolments as a share of the Year 12 cohort) within schools that offer Economics.¹⁰ We estimate a Heckman model to account for the selection effect of excluding schools where Economics is not offered. The size of the Year 12 cohort is used as an exclusion restriction. All else equal, larger schools are more likely to offer Economics, and we argue that the cohort size is unlikely to affect student demand for Economics. However, larger schools may offer a greater variety of subjects, which could affect student demand for Economics, so we include the number of subjects offered as an additional control in the selection and outcome equations. The Wald test of

¹⁰ The administrative data available do not allow us to identify schools where Economics was offered but not taught due to a lack of student demand. We instead take Economics being taught (at least one Economics student enrolled) as a proxy for Economics beings offered.

independence of the selection and outcome equations confirms the significance of a selection effect (Table B1).

Isolating the student demand side, we find that higher socio-economic status is associated with increased demand for Economics amongst students (Table 4, column (2)); this suggests that the higher likelihood of Economics being taught at schools with higher socio-economic status (Table 4, column (1)) is not just attributable to school supply-side factors. Non-government schools experience lower demand for Economics relative to government schools, holding socio-economic status and other characteristics constant. Relative to co-ed schools, all boys schools are associated with greater student demand for Economics, and all girls schools are associated with less.

A key contribution of the student survey data is the ability to isolate the individual student characteristics from the schools' characteristics that relate to students' demand for Economics. We do this using the sample of students at schools that teach Economics, accounting for sample selection. We estimate a probit model with sample selection (heckprobit), using the size of the Year 12 cohort as an exclusion restriction (Table 4, columns (3) and (5)). The Year 12 cohort size in this model is a series of dummy variables representing size categories (rather than a continuous variable as used in the school-level estimation).¹¹ Standard errors are clustered by school accounting for the stratified approach to sampling.

The heckprobit estimation fails to reject the null hypothesis of independence of the selection and outcome equations; this is surprising given the significant selection effect identified using the administrative data on the population of schools (Table B1). This may reflect the lack of variation from using dummy variables rather than continuous variables when identifying the selection effect, or the smaller sample of schools included in the survey sample (particularly for Year 11 and 12 students; Table 2), rather than an absence of selection.¹² As a comparison, the model is estimated as a probit (without sample selection) using the sample of schools where Economics is taught (Table 4, columns (4) and (6)).

These estimates imply that males are more likely to choose Economics than females, even when controlling for school characteristics. This clarifies that the greater prominence of males in Economics (Figure 1) reflects differences by sex, and is not just a product of confounding school factors. We also control for bilingual status, as a proxy for ethnicity, though this is not significant. We find there is a greater likelihood of males and students from a higher socio-economic background studying Economics, even when controlling for other student characteristics (Table 4, columns (5) and (6)). For example, these results are robust to the inclusion of variables for perceived interest in Economics, perceived understanding of Economics, and whether they take into account how well a subject scales for the Australian Tertiary Admission Rank (ATAR).¹³

¹¹ In matching the school-level information to the survey dataset, it was necessary for the HSC cohort size and number of subjects taught variables to be collapsed into 5 categories to prevent identification of individual schools in the dataset (a requirement of the ethics approval).

¹² When we exclude the variable that controls for number of subjects, the results are qualitatively similar.

¹³ The importance placed on ATAR scaling relates to students' responses to what they consider when selecting subjects in general; see Livermore and Major (2020) for more details on factors students consider when selecting subjects. The results are also robust to the inclusion of controls for the other subjects studied.

We find that when controlling for perceived understanding and interest in Economics, the marginal effects of being male are reduced slightly but remain significant. This provides some indication that only part of the reason that males are more likely to study Economics than females is because they tend to be more interested or have a better perceived understanding of Economics. Including these variables also renders the marginal effect of school sector insignificant. A further analysis of differences in student perceptions is explored in the following section.

Overall, we conclude that students' demand for Economics is strongly associated with socioeconomic background and sex, confirming that the aggregate picture of diversity in enrolments is not driven by any confounding factors that might have been at play.

3.2 What are students' perceptions of Economics?

A novel feature of the survey data is students' perceptions of Economics, regardless of whether or not they chose it, shedding light on the reasons for lower participation and diversity in Economics enrolments. We asked students to consider a range of statements about Economics, and to indicate the extent to which they agree or disagree with each on a five-point Likert scale (from 'strongly disagree' to 'strongly agree').

What positive perceptions do students have about Economics? Students typically believe that economics can be used for social good, isn't all about money and that an economics degree leads to a wide range of career options (Table 5). They also *do not* tend to believe economics is more of a career for men. Students typically believe they could do well at Economics in Year 11 and 12 and that it scales well for the ATAR. They perceive that Economics provides skills for everyday life. Interestingly, these positive perceptions are in contrast to findings from surveys of Australian university students (Lewis and Norris 1997; Ward *et al* 2000; Azzalini and Hopkins 2002).

What negative perceptions do students have about Economics? Students generally do not perceive Economics as interesting and have little desire to know more about it. Economics is perceived as having a heavier workload than most other Year 11 and 12 subjects. And while Economics is seen as providing skills and tools for everyday life, students generally indicated they prefer to study Business Studies because they think it will be more useful for their future and more interesting. These results are in line with insights from liaison and the revealed preference for Business Studies over Economics in enrolment data. While students perceive an economics degree to lead to a wide range of career opportunities, students are less likely to have a clear understanding of Economics (the subject) or the careers available if they were to choose Economics (as a subject).

Short label	Full survey statement ^(a)	Net balance ^(b) (%)	Strong net balance ^(c) (%)
Understanding	I have a good understanding of what Economics is	6***	-3***
Interesting	I find Economics interesting as a subject	0	-4***
Could do well	I think I could do well in Economics if I put my mind to it	42***	16***
Clear idea of how good	I have a clear idea of whether I would be good at Economics	16***	2**
Want to know more	I want to know more about Economics	11***	1
Risk because I don't know	It's a risk to study Economics because I don't know what it's about	9***	3***
Teachers promote	There are teachers at my school who promote the study of Economics	15***	2***
Business easier	I would prefer to study Business Studies over Economics because I think it's easier	11***	3***
Business more useful	I would prefer to study Business Studies over Economics because I think it will be more useful for my future	26***	10***
Business more interesting	I would prefer to study Business Studies over Economics because I think it's more interesting	22***	9***
Clear idea of careers	I have a clear idea of the careers available to me if I were to study Economics	5***	-2***
Wide range of careers	An economics degree leads to a wide range of career options ^(d)	44***	11***
More a career for men	Economics is a career option for men more than women ^(d)	-21***	-16***
Need intelligence	You need to be intelligent to study Economics	20***	3***
Need maths	You need to be good at Maths to study Economics	34***	8***
Heavier workload	Economics is a subject that has a heavier workload in comparison to most other Year 11 and 12 subjects	30***	9***
Scales well for ATAR	Economics is a subject that scales well for the ATAR	40***	11***
Important	It's important to know about Economics in today's society	55***	17***
Skills for everyday life	Studying Economics will teach me skills and tools I can use in my everyday life	45***	11***
Used for social good	Economics can be used for social good ^(d)	50***	13***
Not all about money	Economics is not all about money ^(d)	30***	7***
Notes: *,** and *** d (a) Statements (b) Share of re	enotes statistical significance at the 10, 5 and 1 per cent levels relate to the study of Economics in Year 11 and 12, unless ind espondents selecting `Tend to agree' or `Strongly agree' minu	, respectively icated otherwise s the share of resp	ondents selecting 'Tend to

Table 5: Perce	eptions of I	Economics – I	Descriptive	Statistics
----------------	--------------	---------------	-------------	------------

disagree' or 'Strongly disagree'

(c) Share of respondents selecting 'Strongly agree' minus the share of respondents selecting 'Strongly disagree'

(d) Statement related to economics in general

Source: RBA

3.3 What differences in perceptions of Economics exist by sex and socio-economic background?

To investigate what attitudes and beliefs may underpin the difference in likelihood of studying Economics by sex and socio-economic background, we consider a model with the dependent variable $Perception_{ij}$, which takes the values '1 – Strongly disagree', '2 – Tend to disagree', '3 – Neither agree nor disagree', '4 – Tend to agree' or '5 – Strongly agree' for each perception statement. We estimate an ordered probit model with school-level and student-level variables. These questions are asked to Year 10, 11 and 12 students.

We find that females were less likely than males to 'have a good understanding of what Economics is', 'find Economics interesting as a subject' or 'want to know more about Economics' (Table 6). For example, males are 4 percentage points more likely than females to strongly agree with the statement 'I have a good understanding of what Economics is', holding all other variables at their means. Females are also less likely than males to feel 'I could do well in Economics if I put my mind to it' or 'have a clear idea of whether I would be good at Economics', and more likely to believe that Economics is 'a risk to study because I don't know what it is about'. Furthermore, female students perceived that teachers were less likely to promote Economics as a subject. Females were also more likely than males to perceive Business Studies as easier, more useful and more interesting than Economics. In terms of career development, females were less likely to have clear or positive perceptions of career opportunities from studying economics. However, females were less likely to perceive 'economics is a career option for men more than women'. Importantly, these findings remained even when accounting for whether schools did or did not offer Economics in their schools.

Many of these trends were also present for students in schools with a lower socio-economic background (compared with higher socio-economic). In particular, students from a lower socio-economic background are less likely to feel 'I could do well in Economics if I put my mind to it' or 'I have a clear idea of whether I would be good at Economics'. Students from a lower socio-economic background were also less likely to 'have a good understanding of what Economics is', or have a clear perception of career opportunities from studying economics. These students are more likely to believe that 'it is a risk to study Economics because I don't know what it's about'.

The finding that students who are female (compared with male) and from a lower socio-economic background (compared with higher socio-economic) are less likely to 'have a good understanding of what Economics is' is one possible reason for differences in other perceptions about Economics. If this were the case, it would imply that interventions that increased understanding would be an efficient way to eliminate gaps in perceptions more broadly. To further investigate the relationship between understanding and perceptions, we re-estimate the regressions controlling for students' perceived understanding of Economics.

		Avera	ge marg	ginal effe	ects o	forder	ed pr	obit		
	Understandin	g Interesti	ng Coul	d do well	Clear how	idea of good	Want n	to know Ri nore I	sk because don't know	Teachers promote
Male	0.04***	0.05**	** 0.	05*** ^(a)	0.0)4***	0.0)4***(a)	-0.03***	0.03*** ^(a)
ICSEA (/100)	0.01***	-0.00	0.	03***	0.0)1** ^(a)	-0.0)1	-0.03***	0.05***
Bilingual	0.02***	0.05**	** 0.	06***	0.0)2**	0.0)5***	0.01	0.02
Non-govt	-0.02***	-0.00	0.	02	0.0	00	-0.0	00	0.02***	-0.05**
All boys school	0.02***	0.04**	** 0.	09***	0.0)2	0.0	6***	-0.02	0.03
All girls school	-0.01	-0.01	-0.	01	-0.0	00	-0.0)1	0.00	-0.01
Observations	3,897	3,708		3,812	3,	463	3	,998	3,839	3,547
Pseudo R ²	0.014	0.017	0.	020	0.0	011	0.0)12	0.007	0.018
	Business easier	Busine	ss more eful	Business interes	s more sting	Clear ca	r idea (areers	of Wide r car	ange of l eers	More a career for men
Male	-0.03***	-0.0	5***	-0.05	***	0.	04***	0.02	0 **(a)	0.02***
ICSEA (/100)	-0.01	-0.0	3***	-0.02		0.	01**(a)	0.0	L	-0.01***
Bilingual	0.02**	0.0	1	-0.00		0.0	03***	0.03	3**	0.01*
Non-govt	0.00	0.0	2	0.01		-0.0	02*	0.02	2	-0.01
All boys school	-0.01	-0.0	0	-0.00		0.0	04***	0.05	5*** 5	0.01
All girls school	0.03***	0.0	1	0.02		0.0	01	0.03	L	0.00
Observations	3,605	3,6	591	3,70)5	3	,561	3,	290	3,624
Pseudo R ²	0.005	0.0	05	0.00	4	0.	015	0.00)8	0.005
	Need intelligence	Need maths	Heavier workloa	r Scales d for A	s well TAR	Importa	ant e	Skills for everyday life	Used for social goo	Not all about d money
Male	0.01	-0.00	-0.00	0.01		0.02		0.01	0.01	-0.01
ICSEA (/100)	0.00	-0.01	0.01	0.01		0.02*	(a)	0.01	0.01	0.01
Bilingual	0.01**	0.03***	0.03**	* 0.04	***	0.05*	**	0.02*	0.04***	0.04***
Non-govt	-0.01	-0.00	-0.00	0.00		0.01		0.03**	0.02	0.00
All boys school	0.00	-0.02	0.06**	* 0.06	***	0.03*		0.04**	0.05***	0.02
All girls school	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01		-0.01		0.00	-0.02	-0.01
Observations	3,749	3,649	3,028	2,7	96	3,812	2	3,698	3,525	3,479
Pseudo R ²	0.001	0.004	0.008	0.00	9	0.007		0.005	0.010	0.004
Notes: Calcu signif (a) 'M Sources: Austr	lated at `5 – Stro icance at the 10, 1ale' or `ICSEA' e alian Curriculum	ngly agree'; r , 5 and 1 per ffect is no lon , Assessment	esults are r cent levels, ger statisti and Report	robust to ca , respective cally signific ting Author	alculatin ely; robu cant wh ity; NSV	g at `1 – S Ist standa Ien contro V Educati	Strongly ard erro olling fo on Star	y disagree'; *, irs clustered a ir perceived un indards Authori	** and *** de t the school le nderstanding tv: RBA	enotes statistical evel of Economics

 Table 6: Perceptions of Economics – Regression Results

 Average marginal effects of ordered probit

Some of the differences between sex and socio-economic background are no longer statistically significant once accounting for perceived understanding (see results with (a) superscript in Table 6). In particular, accounting for a lower perceived understanding of Economics eliminates the sex difference in the desire to 'want to know more about Economics', students' perceptions of teachers promoting Economics as a subject, and perceptions that 'an economics degree leads to a wide range of career options'. Similarly, controlling for a lower perceived understanding of Economics eliminates differences between students from different socio-economic backgrounds in clarity of career opportunities from studying economics.

There still remains, however, a gap to be closed. Females are less likely than males to 'have a clear idea of whether I would be good at Economics' or 'have a clear idea of the careers available to me if I were to study Economics', and students from a lower socio-economic background are less likely to feel 'I could do well in Economics if I put my mind to it'. It remains that females and students from a lower socio-economic background are more likely to believe that it is 'a risk to study Economics because I don't know what it's about' and have favourable perceptions of Business Studies. Furthermore, students from a lower socio-economic background are less likely to report that teachers at their school promote the study of Economics. And it also remains that males are more likely to find Economics interesting, controlling for a lower perceived understanding of Economics.

To shed light on whether the nature of the topics included in the Year 11 and 12 Economics syllabus appeal more to males than females, the survey gave students a list of Economics topics (based on the Economics syllabus) and asked them to select the 2 that were most interesting. The data reveal that females and males do differ in the topics they find most interesting (Figure 4). In particular, female students were more likely to cite 'identifying problems', whereas male students were more likely to cite the 'share market'.





Share of Year 10–12 students

Note: * denotes statistically significant difference at the 5 per cent level after controlling for school type, socio-economic status and language

Source: RBA

4. Discussion and Implications

This paper provides an evidence base for those engaged in Economics education – and the economics profession more broadly – to promote participation and diversity in the study of Economics. The results may also inform how educators and career advisors communicate with students about subject choices in general and Economics in particular.

High school students in our Australian survey typically have positive perceptions of economics as a field; they think that economics is used for social good and not just all about money. This implies that the core elements of economics have broad appeal. However, the perceptions of Economics as a Year 11 and 12 subject tend to be negative; for example, that Economics is not interesting, has a heavier workload than most other Year 11 and 12 subjects, and is less useful than Business Studies. A challenge in increasing overall enrolments in Economics is to build interest, relevance and understanding to motivate high school students to study Economics.

We find that socio-economic status is the key school characteristic associated with the likelihood of a student studying Economics, while sex is the key student-level characteristic. That is, students are more likely to study Economics if they are male or in a school with a higher socio-economic status. Differences in perceptions between both students of different socio-economic backgrounds and sexes reveal underlying attitudinal factors that are reflected in the divergent likelihood of studying Economics.

Importantly, females (compared with males) and students from a lower socio-economic background (compared with higher socio-economic) are less likely to perceive they 'have a good understanding of what Economics is'. A greater perceived understanding of what Economics is about also reduces some of the sex and socio-economic differences, such as the desire to know more about Economics, perceptions of teachers promoting Economics, and clarity of career opportunities. This implies that one possible intervention to address diversity deficits in Economics is to improve students' understanding of what Economics entails. Further research may test various informational interventions to establish which content and medium work best to improve perceived understanding of Economics.

Even controlling for perceived understanding, however, females are less likely to 'have a clear idea of whether I would be good at Economics' and students from a lower socio-economic background are less likely to feel 'I could do well in Economics if I put my mind to it'. Without data to control for actual academic ability, it is not clear whether these differences reflect accurate assessments of ability, opportunity to do well or a lack of confidence (for a given level of ability). Nevertheless, this result implies that interventions that only provide information (i.e. increase understanding of what Economics is) are not enough to equalise perceptions and participation in Economics. Further research, that disentangles actual ability to do well in Economics from perceived ability to do well, would shed light on the relative merits of interventions that focus on developing relevant skills and those that focus on boosting confidence in the skills already held.

We also find that males are more likely to find Economics interesting (even controlling for perceived understanding). This may reflect an inherent difference in interest in economics between the sexes. Or it may reflect that the nature of the topics included in the Year 11 and 12 Economics syllabus appeal more to males than females; we find evidence that specific topics of interest do differ between

the sexes. Further work may examine how well the Economics syllabus aligns with the interests of female students.

While this paper examines data for NSW students, future research may investigate perceptions of Economics according to the stage at which students are exposed to it (with the stage at which economics content is introduced to students varying by state, with some including it as a compulsory part of the junior high school curriculum). Further work may also evaluate the effectiveness of interventions at different stages of learning.

5. Conclusion

This paper has established novel quantitative evidence of the factors contributing to the decline in high school Economics enrolments and the accompanying fall in diversity of the Economics student population. This evidence base, and resulting interventions, are a stepping stone to promoting economic literacy amongst the population and cultivating a pipeline of future economists who are more representative of society.

		Students in:	
	Sa	mple	Population
	Number	Proportion (%)	Proportion (%)
Year			
10	2,677	55	36
11	1,297	27	34
12	852	18	30
Sex			
Male	2,176	45	50
Female	2,443	51	50
Speaks another language other than English	at home		
Yes	1,561	32	35
No	3,088	64	65
Studies Economics (Year 11 or 12 only) ^(a)			
Yes	189	9	9
No	1,960	91	91
Total sample	4,826		
Total completes	4,698		

Appendix A: Sample Characteristics

		Students in:	
	Sa	mple	Population
	Number	Proportion (%)	Proportion (%)
School sector			
Government	2,705	56	59
Non-government	2,121	44	41
School type			
Co-ed	3,586	74	82
All boys	578	12	7
All girls	662	14	11
Selective type			
Selective	73	2	
Non-selective	4,753	98	
Area			
Metro	3,756	78	78
Regional	1,070	22	22
Index of Community Socio-Educational Advantage (ICSEA)			
Quartile 1 (lowest)	605	13	28
Quartile 2	1,373	28	22
Quartile 3	679	14	25
Quartile 4 (highest)	2,169	45	25
Total sample	4,826		
	4 600		

Table A2: Sample by School Characteristics

			Coeff	ficients			
	(2)		(3)	(5)		
	Selection EconSchool _j	Outcome EconShare _j	Selection EconSchool _j	Outcome EconStudent _{ij}	Selection EconSchool _j	Outcome EconStudent	
Male			0.06	0.46***	0.08	0.31**	
Bilingual			0.10	0.15	0.07	0.07	
Interest					-0.12*	0.27***	
Understanding					0.10	0.37***	
ATAR					0.11*	0.17	
Non-government	-0.07	-3.42***	-0.24	-0.72**	0.10	-0.46	
Regional	-0.10	-1.62	-0.35	0.59	-0.28	0.34	
ICSEA (/100)	0.96***	8.01***	1.20*	0.64	0.93	0.39	
All boys school	1.20***	6.51***	7.00***	-0.51	8.27***	-0.85	
All girls school	0.12	-2.53***	-9.75***	-0.36	-11.87***	-0.95***	
Subjects taught	0.03***	0.04					
Subjects taught (ordinal)			0.85**	-0.25	0.79*	-0.31*	
Year 12 cohort size (/100)	0.67***						
Year 12 cohort size (dummies)							
Fewer than 27 students			-0.43		-0.68		
61–91 students			-0.84		-1.03		
92–134 students			3.39**		4.60***		
135 or more students			12.87***		15.93***		
Constant	-11.59***	-76.69***					
Observations	76	8	1,995		1,141		
Of which selected	31	316		1,238		719	
Year(s)	12	2	11 & 12		11 & 12		
Method	Heck	man	Heckprobit		Heckprobit		
ρ		-0.40		-0.60			
Wald χ^2	31.	.32***		0.46		1.57	
School-clustered standard errors			Y	es	Ŷ	′es	
Log likelihood	-1,255	.49***	-86	3.48	-48	81.11	

Appendix B: Selection Model Results

Australian Curriculum, Assessment and Reporting Authority; NSW Education Standards Authority; RBA Sources:

References

Alloway N, L Dalley, A Patterson, K Walker and M Lenoy (2004), *School Students Making Education and Career Decisions: Aspirations, Attitudes and Influences*, Final Report, Department of Education, Science and Training, Canberra. Available at https://researchonline.jcu.edu.au/554/1/report.pdf>.

Azzalini P and S Hopkins (2002), 'What Business Students Think of Economics: Results from a Survey of Second Year Students', *Economic Papers*, 21(1), pp 11–17.

Board of Studies New South Wales (2009), *Economics Stage 6 Syllabus*, Board of Studies NSW, Sydney. Available at <https://educationstandards.nsw.edu.au/wps/portal/nesa/11-12/stage-6-learning-areas/hsie/ economics>.

Board of Studies New South Wales (2010), 'Business Studies Stage 6 Syllabus'. Available at https://educationstandards.nsw.edu.au/wps/portal/nesa/11-12/stage-6-learning-areas/hsie/business-studies-.

Dwyer J (2018), 'What Happened to the Study of Economics?', Address to the Business Educators Australasia Annual Meeting, Sydney, 26 May.

Fullarton S and J Ainley (2000), *Subject Choice by Students in Year 12 in Australian Secondary Schools*, Longitudinal Surveys of Australian Youth (LSAY) Research Report No 15, The Australian Council for Educational Research, Camberwell.

Fullarton S, M Walker, J Ainley and K Hillman (2003), *Patterns of Participation in Year 12*, Longitudinal Surveys of Australian Youth (LSAY) Research Report No 33, The Australian Council for Educational Research, Camberwell.

Gore J, K Holmes, M Smith, L Fray, P McElduff, N Weaver and C Wallington (2017), 'Unpacking the Career Aspirations of Australian School Students: Towards an Evidence Base for University Equity Initiatives in Schools', *Higher Education Research & Development*, 36(7), pp 1383–1400.

Jung JY and M Young (2019), 'The Occupational/Career Decision-Making Processes of Intellectually Gifted Adolescents from Economically Disadvantaged Backgrounds: A Mixed Methods Perspective', *Gifted Child Quarterly*, 63(1), pp 36–57.

Lait A (2017), 'ING-Economics Network Survey of the Public Understanding of Economics', The Economics Network. Available at https://www.economicsnetwork.ac.uk/research/understandingecon>.

Lait A (2019), 'ING-Economics Network Survey of the Public Understanding of Economics', The Economics Network. Available at https://www.economicsnetwork.ac.uk/research/understandingecon/2019.

Lewis P and K Norris (1997), 'Recent Changes in Economics Enrolments', *Economic Papers*, 16(1), pp 1–13.

Livermore T and M Major (2020), 'Why Study (or Not Study) Economics? A Survey of High School Students', RBA Bulletin, June, viewed 11 June 2021. Available at <https://www.rba.gov.au/publications/bulletin/2020/jun/why-study-or-not-study-economics-a-survey-of-high-school-students.html>.

Lusardi A (2019), 'Financial Literacy and the Need for Financial Education: Evidence and Implications', *Swiss Journal of Economics and Statistics*, 155(1), Article 1.

May AM, MG McGarvey and R Whaples (2014), 'Are Disagreements among Male and Female Economists Marginal at Best?: A Survey of AEA Members and Their Views on Economics and Economic Policy', *Contemporary Economic Policy*, 32(1), pp 111–132.

NSW Education Standards Authority (2017), *Mathematics Standard Stage 6 Syllabus*, NSW Syllabus for the Australian Curriculum, NSW Education Standards Authority, Sydney. Available at https://educationstandards.nsw.edu.au/wps/portal/nesa/11-12/stage-6-learning-areas/stage-6-mathematics/mathematics-standard-2017.

NSW Education Standards Authority (2019), *Commerce Years 7–10 Syllabus*, NSW Syllabus for the Australian Curriculum, NSW Education Standards Authority, Sydney. Available at https://educationstandards.nsw.edu.au/wps/portal/nesa/k-10/learning-areas/hsie/commerce-7-10-2019.

Preston A (2020), 'Financial Literacy in Australia: Insights from HILDA Data', Presentation, UWA Public Policy Institute. Available at .

Thomson S (2005), *Pathways from School to Further Education or Work: Examining the Consequences of Year 12 Course Choices,* Longitudinal Surveys of Australian Youth (LSAY) Research Report No 42, The Australian Council for Educational Research, Camberwell.

Ward I, G Crosling and J Marangos (2000), 'Encouraging Positive Perceptions of Economics: The Effectiveness of the Orientation Tutorial', *Economic Papers*, 19(3), pp 76–86.

Webber DJ and A Mearman (2012), 'Students' Perceptions of Economics: Identifying Demand for Further Study', *Applied Economics*, 44(9), pp 1121–1132.