# COMPETITION, MARKUPS AND INFLATION: EVIDENCE FROM AUSTRALIAN FIRM-LEVEL DATA

BY CHAMPION, EDMOND, HAMBUR

Discussion by Petr Sedláček Conference on the Inflationary Challenge RBA, 2023

# The age of greedflation

How corporate profiteering is making us poorer.

**By Will Dunn** 



# The age of greedflation

Greedflation Is Real and Probably How corporate profiteering is Companies have used inflation as excuse for big price increases, frist making us poorer.

Good for the Economy

quarter earnings suggest

**By Will Dunn** MRIETS I HEADON THE STREET













"How much of recent inflation is due to market power?"

• strong version: shocks provide "cover" for firms to  $\uparrow$  profits (more than 1:1 pass-through)

- **strong version**: shocks provide "cover" for firms to  $\uparrow$  profits (more than 1:1 pass-through)
- weak version: market structure affect amplification of shocks

- **strong version**: shocks provide "cover" for firms to  $\uparrow$  profits (more than 1:1 pass-through)
- weak version: market structure affect amplification of shocks
  - aggregated data

- **strong version**: shocks provide "cover" for firms to  $\uparrow$  profits (more than 1:1 pass-through)
- weak version: market structure affect amplification of shocks
  - $\cdot$  aggregated data
  - micro-data

- **strong version**: shocks provide "cover" for firms to  $\uparrow$  profits (more than 1:1 pass-through)
- weak version: market structure affect amplification of shocks
  - $\cdot$  aggregated data
  - micro-data
  - structural model

ChrisEdmond @chrisedmond . 25 Feb Finally, the idea that one can \* quantify \* just "How much of recent inflation is due to market pe how much of the rise in inflation is due to an

Cactually need a model

- Replying to @chrisedmond strong version: shocks provide "cover
- increase in profit margins by looking at national income account data alone is. of • weak version: market structu course, nonsense on stills. For that you
  - aggregated data
  - micro-data
  - struct

oass-through)

- **strong version**: shocks provide "cover" for firms to  $\uparrow$  profits (more than 1:1 pass-through)
  - aggregate data
- weak version: market structure affects amplification of shocks
  - micro-data
  - structural model
- Comments:

- **strong version**: shocks provide "cover" for firms to  $\uparrow$  profits (more than 1:1 pass-through)
  - aggregate data
- weak version: market structure affects amplification of shocks
  - micro-data
  - structural model
- Comments:
  - 1. Is looking at the cross-section enough?

"How much of recent inflation is due to market power?"

- strong version: shocks provide "cover" for firms to  $\uparrow$  profits (more than 1:1 pass-through)
  - aggregate data
- weak version: market structure affects amplification of shocks
  - micro-data
  - $\cdot$  structural model

Comments:

- 1. Is looking at the cross-section enough?
- 2. What about a "really weak" version?

Kimball aggregator/demand

# Kimball aggregator/demand

• demand elasticity (and therefore markup) vary with firm size (output shares)

# Kimball aggregator/demand

- demand elasticity (and therefore markup) vary with firm size (output shares)
- $\cdot$  pass-through, ho, of costs to prices varies with size and demand elasticity

# Kimball aggregator/demand

- · demand elasticity (and therefore markup) vary with firm size (output shares)
- $\cdot$  pass-through, ho, of costs to prices varies with size and demand elasticity
- · can derive inflation dynamics: depend on pass-through

# Kimball aggregator/demand

- · demand elasticity (and therefore markup) vary with firm size (output shares)
- $\cdot$  pass-through, ho, of costs to prices varies with size and demand elasticity
- · can derive inflation dynamics: depend on pass-through

# Kimball aggregator/demand

- · demand elasticity (and therefore markup) vary with firm size (output shares)
- $\cdot$  pass-through, ho, of costs to prices varies with size and demand elasticity
- · can derive inflation dynamics: depend on pass-through

$$f(\mu_i) = a + \underbrace{\epsilon/\overline{\sigma}}_{\text{"super-elasticity"}} \ln \omega_i$$

# Kimball aggregator/demand

- · demand elasticity (and therefore markup) vary with firm size (output shares)
- $\cdot$  pass-through, ho, of costs to prices varies with size and demand elasticity
- · can derive inflation dynamics: depend on pass-through

Chris' recent work work:

$$f(\mu_i) = a + \underbrace{\epsilon/\overline{\sigma}}_{\text{"super-elasticity"}} \ln \omega_i$$

 $\cdot$  using cross-section of markups,  $\mu$ 

# Kimball aggregator/demand

- · demand elasticity (and therefore markup) vary with firm size (output shares)
- $\cdot$  pass-through, ho, of costs to prices varies with size and demand elasticity
- · can derive inflation dynamics: depend on pass-through

Chris' recent work work:

$$f(\mu_i) = a + \underbrace{\epsilon/\overline{\sigma}}_{\text{"super-elasticity"}} \ln \omega_i$$

• using cross-section of markups,  $\mu$  (and sales shares,  $\omega$ )

# Kimball aggregator/demand

- · demand elasticity (and therefore markup) vary with firm size (output shares)
- $\cdot$  pass-through, ho, of costs to prices varies with size and demand elasticity
- · can derive inflation dynamics: depend on pass-through

$$f(\mu_i) = a + \underbrace{\epsilon/\overline{\sigma}}_{\text{"super-elasticity"}} \ln \omega_i$$

- using cross-section of markups,  $\mu$  (and sales shares,  $\omega$ )
- $\cdot 
  ightarrow$  super-elasticity,  $\epsilon/\overline{\sigma}$

# Kimball aggregator/demand

- · demand elasticity (and therefore markup) vary with firm size (output shares)
- $\cdot$  pass-through, ho, of costs to prices varies with size and demand elasticity
- · can derive inflation dynamics: depend on pass-through

$$f(\mu_i) = a + \underbrace{\epsilon/\overline{\sigma}}_{\text{"super-elasticity"}} \ln \omega_i$$

- using cross-section of markups,  $\mu$  (and sales shares,  $\omega$ )
- $\cdot \rightarrow$  super-elasticity,  $\epsilon/\overline{\sigma} \rightarrow$  pass-through,  $\rho$

# Kimball aggregator/demand

- · demand elasticity (and therefore markup) vary with firm size (output shares)
- $\cdot$  pass-through, ho, of costs to prices varies with size and demand elasticity
- · can derive inflation dynamics: depend on pass-through

$$f(\mu_i) = a + \underbrace{\epsilon/\overline{\sigma}}_{\text{"super-elasticity"}} \ln \omega_i$$

- · using cross-section of markups,  $\mu$  (and sales shares,  $\omega$ )
- $\cdot \rightarrow$  super-elasticity,  $\epsilon/\overline{\sigma} \rightarrow$  pass-through,  $\rho$
- calibrate model to replicate cross-sectional features and compare to CES (constant markups)

What is the model performance over the business cycle?

• is matching cross-sectional moments "enough" for business cycle moments?

- is matching cross-sectional moments "enough" for business cycle moments?
- looking at US data from Nekarda/Ramey (2020)

- is matching cross-sectional moments "enough" for business cycle moments?
- looking at US data from Nekarda/Ramey (2020)
  - on average:  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln y) \approx 0.75$  and  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln \pi) \approx 1$

- is matching cross-sectional moments "enough" for business cycle moments?
- looking at US data from Nekarda/Ramey (2020)
  - on average:  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln y) \approx 0.75$  and  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln \pi) \approx 1$
  - $\cdot$  eyeballing the slides suggests that your model is quite consistent with those values

- is matching cross-sectional moments "enough" for business cycle moments?
- looking at US data from Nekarda/Ramey (2020)
  - · on average:  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln y) \approx 0.75$  and  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln \pi) \approx 1$
  - $\cdot$  eyeballing the slides suggests that your model is quite consistent with those values
- $\cdot$  what about markup co-movement

- is matching cross-sectional moments "enough" for business cycle moments?
- looking at US data from Nekarda/Ramey (2020)
  - · on average:  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln y) \approx 0.75$  and  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln \pi) \approx 1$
  - $\cdot$  eyeballing the slides suggests that your model is quite consistent with those values
- what about markup co-movement, profit cyclicality?

What is the model performance over the business cycle?

- is matching cross-sectional moments "enough" for business cycle moments?
- looking at US data from Nekarda/Ramey (2020)
  - · on average:  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln y) \approx 0.75$  and  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln \pi) \approx 1$
  - $\cdot$  eyeballing the slides suggests that your model is quite consistent with those values
- what about markup co-movement, profit cyclicality?

Markup heterogeneity reflects differences in sales shares

What is the model performance over the business cycle?

- is matching cross-sectional moments "enough" for business cycle moments?
- looking at US data from Nekarda/Ramey (2020)
  - · on average:  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln y) \approx 0.75$  and  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln \pi) \approx 1$
  - $\cdot$  eyeballing the slides suggests that your model is quite consistent with those values
- what about markup co-movement, profit cyclicality?

Markup heterogeneity reflects differences in sales shares

• what is the fit of the key regression,  $f(\mu) = a + \epsilon/\overline{\sigma} \omega$ ?

- is matching cross-sectional moments "enough" for business cycle moments?
- looking at US data from Nekarda/Ramey (2020)
  - · on average:  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln y) \approx 0.75$  and  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln \pi) \approx 1$
  - $\cdot$  eyeballing the slides suggests that your model is quite consistent with those values
- what about markup co-movement, profit cyclicality?
- Markup heterogeneity reflects differences in sales shares
  - what is the fit of the key regression,  $f(\mu) = a + \epsilon/\overline{\sigma} \omega$ ?
  - · does the fit change over the business cycle?

- is matching cross-sectional moments "enough" for business cycle moments?
- looking at US data from Nekarda/Ramey (2020)
  - · on average:  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln y) \approx 0.75$  and  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln \pi) \approx 1$
  - $\cdot$  eyeballing the slides suggests that your model is quite consistent with those values
- what about markup co-movement, profit cyclicality?
- Markup heterogeneity reflects differences in sales *shares* 
  - what is the fit of the key regression,  $f(\mu) = a + \epsilon/\overline{\sigma} \omega$ ?
  - does the fit change over the business cycle? If so, is it because...
    - sales shares change over the business cycle?

- is matching cross-sectional moments "enough" for business cycle moments?
- looking at US data from Nekarda/Ramey (2020)
  - · on average:  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln y) \approx 0.75$  and  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln \pi) \approx 1$
  - $\cdot$  eyeballing the slides suggests that your model is quite consistent with those values
- what about markup co-movement, profit cyclicality?
- Markup heterogeneity reflects differences in sales *shares* 
  - what is the fit of the key regression,  $f(\mu) = a + \epsilon/\overline{\sigma} \omega$ ?
  - does the fit change over the business cycle? If so, is it because...
    - sales shares change over the business cycle? And if so, is it because...

- is matching cross-sectional moments "enough" for business cycle moments?
- looking at US data from Nekarda/Ramey (2020)
  - · on average:  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln y) \approx 0.75$  and  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln \pi) \approx 1$
  - $\cdot$  eyeballing the slides suggests that your model is quite consistent with those values
- what about markup co-movement, profit cyclicality?
- Markup heterogeneity reflects differences in sales shares
  - what is the fit of the key regression,  $f(\mu) = a + \epsilon/\overline{\sigma} \omega$ ?
  - does the fit change over the business cycle? If so, is it because...
    - sales shares change over the business cycle? And if so, is it because...
      - asymmetric impacts of aggregate shocks?

- is matching cross-sectional moments "enough" for business cycle moments?
- looking at US data from Nekarda/Ramey (2020)
  - · on average:  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln y) \approx 0.75$  and  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln \pi) \approx 1$
  - $\cdot$  eyeballing the slides suggests that your model is quite consistent with those values
- what about markup co-movement, profit cyclicality?
- Markup heterogeneity reflects differences in sales *shares* 
  - what is the fit of the key regression,  $f(\mu) = a + \epsilon/\overline{\sigma} \omega$ ?
  - does the fit change over the business cycle? If so, is it because...
    - sales shares change over the business cycle? And if so, is it because...
      - asymmetric impacts of aggregate shocks?
      - heterogeneous responsiveness of firms to common shocks?

- is matching cross-sectional moments "enough" for business cycle moments?
- looking at US data from Nekarda/Ramey (2020)
  - · on average:  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln y) \approx 0.75$  and  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln \pi) \approx 1$
  - $\cdot$  eyeballing the slides suggests that your model is quite consistent with those values
- what about markup co-movement, profit cyclicality?
- Markup heterogeneity reflects differences in sales shares
  - what is the fit of the key regression,  $f(\mu) = a + \epsilon/\overline{\sigma} \omega$ ?
  - does the fit change over the business cycle? If so, is it because...
    - sales shares change over the business cycle? And if so, is it because...
      - asymmetric impacts of aggregate shocks?
      - · heterogeneous responsiveness of firms to common shocks?
      - entry/exit and reallocation between high/low markup firms?

- is matching cross-sectional moments "enough" for business cycle moments?
- looking at US data from Nekarda/Ramey (2020)
  - · on average:  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln y) \approx 0.75$  and  $\sigma(\ln \mu)/\sigma(\ln \pi) \approx 1$
  - $\cdot$  eyeballing the slides suggests that your model is quite consistent with those values
- what about markup co-movement, profit cyclicality?
- Markup heterogeneity reflects differences in sales shares
  - what is the fit of the key regression,  $f(\mu) = a + \epsilon/\overline{\sigma} \omega$ ?
  - does the fit change over the business cycle? If so, is it because...
    - sales shares change over the business cycle? And if so, is it because...
      - asymmetric impacts of aggregate shocks?
      - heterogeneous responsiveness of firms to common shocks?
      - $\cdot$  entry/exit and reallocation between high/low markup firms?
    - $\cdot$  ... or, is the super-elasticity moving over the business cycle?

Weak version: market structure affects amplification of shocks

Weak version: market structure affects amplification of shocks

 $\cdot$  but still in spirit of rising profits

# 2. WHAT ABOUT A "REALLY WEAK" VERSION?

# Weak version: market structure affects amplification of shocks

• but still in spirit of rising profits

# What about a really weak version (ignoring profits)



**Chris Edmond** @chrisedmond · 06 Jun RBA throws "greedflation" a very small **bone**.

mains alert to the risk that expectations of ongoing high inflation contribute to oth prices and wages, especially given the limited spare capacity in the econon ow rate of unemployment. Accordingly, it will continue to pay close attention to of labour costs and the price-setting behaviour of firms.

Weak version: market structure affects amplification of shocks

• but still in spirit of rising profits

What about a really weak version (ignoring profits), in the spirit of

Weak version: market structure affects amplification of shocks

• but still in spirit of rising profits

What about a really weak version (ignoring profits), in the spirit of

• cyclical price adjustments/flexibility (e.g. Vavra, 2014; Berger/Vavra, 2018)

#### Weak version: market structure affects amplification of shocks

• but still in spirit of rising profits

What about a really weak version (ignoring profits), in the spirit of

- cyclical price adjustments/flexibility (e.g. Vavra, 2014; Berger/Vavra, 2018)
- other reasons for endogenous markups (e.g. Haltiwanger et al., 2016; Akcigit/Ates, 2023)

#### Weak version: market structure affects amplification of shocks

• but still in spirit of rising profits

What about a really weak version (ignoring profits), in the spirit of

- cyclical price adjustments/flexibility (e.g. Vavra, 2014; Berger/Vavra, 2018)
- other reasons for endogenous markups (e.g. Haltiwanger et al., 2016; Akcigit/Ates, 2023)

Do markups/pass-through change over the cycle?

#### Weak version: market structure affects amplification of shocks

• but still in spirit of rising profits

What about a really weak version (ignoring profits), in the spirit of

- cyclical price adjustments/flexibility (e.g. Vavra, 2014; Berger/Vavra, 2018)
- other reasons for endogenous markups (e.g. Haltiwanger et al., 2016; Akcigit/Ates, 2023)

Do markups/pass-through change over the cycle? More than predicted by sales shares?

#### Weak version: market structure affects amplification of shocks

• but still in spirit of rising profits

What about a really weak version (ignoring profits), in the spirit of

- cyclical price adjustments/flexibility (e.g. Vavra, 2014; Berger/Vavra, 2018)
- other reasons for endogenous markups (e.g. Haltiwanger et al., 2016; Akcigit/Ates, 2023)

Do markups/pass-through change over the cycle? More than predicted by sales shares?

• industry analysis: as currently, but for short(er)-run changes (and ignoring profits)

#### Weak version: market structure affects amplification of shocks

• but still in spirit of rising profits

What about a really weak version (ignoring profits), in the spirit of

- cyclical price adjustments/flexibility (e.g. Vavra, 2014; Berger/Vavra, 2018)
- other reasons for endogenous markups (e.g. Haltiwanger et al., 2016; Akcigit/Ates, 2023)

Do markups/pass-through change over the cycle? More than predicted by sales shares?

- industry analysis: as currently, but for short(er)-run changes (and ignoring profits)
- back-of-envelope: estimate super-elasticity over time/cycle?

#### Weak version: market structure affects amplification of shocks

• but still in spirit of rising profits

What about a really weak version (ignoring profits), in the spirit of

- cyclical price adjustments/flexibility (e.g. Vavra, 2014; Berger/Vavra, 2018)
- other reasons for endogenous markups (e.g. Haltiwanger et al., 2016; Akcigit/Ates, 2023)

Do markups/pass-through change over the cycle? More than predicted by sales shares?

- industry analysis: as currently, but for short(er)-run changes (and ignoring profits)
- back-of-envelope: estimate super-elasticity over time/cycle?
- could any such time-varying estimates have quantitative impact?

# OTHER (SMALL) THINGS

- how to think about the distinction between P and D?
- $\cdot$  what determines the dynamics of the P-D difference?
- $\mathbb{E}_{\omega}[\overline{\rho}]$  is key: can you do some "shift-share" decomposition over the business cycle?
- a bit more intuition about results: e.g. is  $\epsilon/\overline{\sigma} = 0.11$  or  $cov(\overline{\sigma}, \overline{\rho}) = 0.01$  high or low?
- how important is the Calvo probability for the results?
- what if we also don't know  $\theta$ , can we still easily separate out super-elasticity?